

NOTICE OF PROPOSED REGULATION AMENDMENT

Date: August 3, 2016

REGULATION TITLE:
Student Academic Behavior Standards

REGULATION NO.:
UCF-5.015

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED REGULATION AMENDMENT: This regulation is amended to substantially update the review process for cases involving student academic misconduct.

AUTHORITY: BOG Regulation 1.001

NAME OF PERSON WHO INITIATED PROPOSED REGULATION AMENDMENT:
Michael Gilmer, Director of Student Conduct

COMMENTS CONCERNING THE PROPOSED REGULATION AMENDMENT SHOULD BE SUBMITTED WITHIN 14 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE TO THE CONTACT PERSON IDENTIFIED BELOW. The comments must identify the regulation you are commenting on.

THE PERSON TO BE CONTACTED REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION AMENDMENT IS:

Regulations Administrator
4365 Andromeda Loop North
Millican Hall, Suite 360
Orlando, FL 32816-0015
Phone: (407) 823-2482
e-mail: regulations@ucf.edu

FULL TEXT OF THE PROPOSED REGULATION AMENDMENT:

UCF-5.015 Student Academic Behavior Standards

- (1) The Office of Undergraduate Studies, College of Graduate Studies, Registrar's Office, and the Office of Student Rights and Responsibilities will review this regulation periodically.
- (2) UCF is committed to a policy of honesty in academic affairs. Conduct that comprises a breach of this policy may result in academic action and/or disciplinary action. Academic action affects student assignments, examinations or grades. Disciplinary action could affect student enrollment status.
- (3) Academic misconduct includes but is not limited to cheating, plagiarism, assisting another in cheating or plagiarism, and commercial use of academic materials. Violations of academic

misconduct ~~on~~at the undergraduate and graduate level are listed and defined in the Rules of Conduct (UCF-5.008)

(4) Alleged violations of the UCF Rules of Conduct (Academic Misconduct) shall be reported in writing to the Director of the Office of Student Conduct or designee. When an instructor becomes aware of an alleged violation of ~~student~~-academic misconduct and before any ~~academic~~ action is taken, the instructor must:

~~(a)~~ — document the alleged violation(s) through the Academic Misconduct Report Form (AMR), available at <http://osc.sdes.ucf.edu>; Upon receiving an alleged violation of academic misconduct, the Director of the OSC or designee may review relevant information and consult with relevant parties regarding the incident in question.

(a) The Director of the OSC will refer all information warranting disciplinary action to the Office of Student Conduct (OSC). The OSC will send notification to the student indicating the nature of the activity in question and what university rules were allegedly violated.

(b) Upon receipt of an AMR form the OSC has six months to charge a student with a violation of academic misconduct. The OSC may exercise discretion when applying the time provision to account for circumstances that warrant a waiver of the six month time limit from the date of discovery.

(c) Students charged with alleged violations of academic misconduct will receive notice to attend a required preliminary conference with the OSC to discuss the charges. If the student fails to attend the conference, a hold will be placed on the student's record, preventing them from registering for future classes until the matter is resolved. Students who leave the university or withdraw from a class before a disciplinary matter is resolved may be prohibited from future enrollment until such time as the matter is resolved. The student will receive information regarding the student conduct review process, including the student's rights during the process, an opportunity to inspect and/or review the information known at the time charges are prepared, and notice of how to contact the impartial advisor. At the conclusion of the meeting, the OSC will recommend an option for resolution of the academic misconduct charges. These options are case dismissal, informal hearing, or academic formal hearing

- ~~(b) discuss proposed action with the department chair prior to student notification;~~
- ~~(c) attempt to notify the student within 10 business days of becoming aware of the alleged violation of academic misconduct; and~~
- ~~(d) attempt to meet with the student, whether in person or virtually, to discuss the incident and to complete the remainder of the AMR form. The instructor can recommend one of the following options through the Office of Student Conduct:
 - ~~1. Documentation only with an Academic Integrity Workshop~~
 - ~~2. Initiate the Conduct Review Process~~~~

(5) Options for Resolution of Academic Misconduct After the AMR form is completed by the instructor, the following steps will be taken:

- (a) Case Dismissal: The Director of the OSC or designee may dismiss a case if: the reported case fails to have sufficient facts or information to substantiate the claim of academic misconduct; or the reported violation is not seen to warrant punitive disciplinary action; or the reported behavior reported as academic misconduct is not a violation of the Rules of Conduct. An informal conference may be held where the student may be instructed to complete an educational requirement to demonstrate what was learned from the reported behavior. Upon successful completion of the educational requirement, the reported incident will be dismissed.
- (b) Informal Hearing: At the discretion of the OSC, violations found not to warrant a formal hearing may be referred to an informal hearing. At the informal hearing, the charged student has the opportunity to meet with an OSC staff member or designee and accept responsibility for the charges of violation of academic misconduct. At the informal hearing level the matter will be settled by the following outcomes: punitive sanction (disciplinary warning or disciplinary probation) as well as educational sanctions (papers, seminars, community service, etc.). If the matter is not resolved informally, the case will be resolved through a formal hearing. The outcomes from an informal hearing process (decision of responsibility and recommended sanctions) are final and are not eligible for appealed.

(c) Formal Hearings: If an alleged violation of academic misconduct is not dismissed or otherwise resolved, then the OSC shall present in writing formal charges to the student. The charged student's formal hearing shall be open only to the charged student/co-charged students involved in the same incident, selected advisor, witnesses (when called upon), and a representative from the OSC. Formal notification shall include:

1. The student's name and address.
2. Date, time and location of the formal hearing.
3. The rule(s) of conduct allegedly violated as known at the time formal charges were prepared.
4. Names of potential witnesses known at the time formal charges were prepared.
5. A description of any physical or written documentation known at the time charges were prepared.

(d) Academic Integrity Formal Hearings: Students going through the Academic Integrity formal hearing process may elect an Administrative Academic Integrity Formal Hearing or a Panel Academic Integrity Formal Hearing.

1. Administrative Academic Integrity Formal Hearing
 - i. Administrative Academic Integrity formal hearings shall be conducted by one faculty randomly selected by the OSC from the Student Conduct Board. The charged student shall be informed of the hearing officer assigned to the case and shall have the opportunity to challenge the impartiality of the individual within three (3) business days of notification. The student shall state in writing the basis for such challenge. A hearing officer so challenged will be excused; however, indiscriminate challenges shall not be permitted. In the event that a student has opted not to challenge the impartiality of a hearing officer prior to the allotted three (3) business days, the assigned hearing officer shall remain as scheduled.
 - ii. At hearings conducted by an administrative hearing officer, an OSC staff member shall act as an advisor to the administrative hearing

officer. The Director of the OSC or designee shall receive the administrative hearing officer's proposed finding(s) as to "in violation" or "not in violation" of the Rules of Conduct, and consider any punitive and/or educational sanctions proposed by the administrative hearing officer.

- iii. The Director of the OSC or designee may accept the proposed finding(s) of "in violation" or "not in violation" or remand the case for rehearing. If the Director of the OSC or designee accepts the proposed finding(s) of "in violation," they may approve, mitigate or increase the sanctions proposed by the administrative hearing officer.
- iv. Any decision by the Director of the OSC or designee to alter sanctions or return a case shall be accompanied by a concise and explicit written statement that explains the basis for that decision.

2. Academic Integrity Panel Hearings.

- i. A panel to consider an individual case shall be randomly selected by the OSC from the Student Conduct Board and shall consist of at least one (1) faculty, one (1) additional faculty/administrative staff members, and two (2) student members. One panel member shall be selected by the OSC to chair the hearing and report the finding(s) and recommended sanctions, if any, to the Director of the OSC or designee.
- ii. For panel hearings, an OSC staff member shall act as an advisor to the panel. The Director of the OSC or designee shall receive the panel's proposed finding(s) as to "in violation" or "not in violation" of the Rules of Conduct, and consider any punitive or educational sanctions proposed by the panel.
- iii. The Director of the OSC or designee may accept the proposed finding(s) of "in violation" or "not in violation" or remand the case for rehearing. If the Director of the OSC or designee accepts the proposed finding(s) of "in violation," they may approve, mitigate or increase the sanctions proposed by the panel.

iv. Any decision by the Director of the OSC or designee to alter proposed sanctions or return a case shall be accompanied by a concise and explicit written statement that explains the basis for that decision.

(e) Following the Academic Integrity Formal Hearing:

~~(a) the AMR form and all supporting documentation will be submitted to the department chair or unit head.~~

~~(b) the instructor will forward the form to the Office of Student Conduct (Ferrell Commons 7G-227)~~

~~(c) If the student accepts responsibility for the academic misconduct and the recommended action is “Documentation only with an Academic Integrity Workshop”, the following steps shall include:~~

~~1. the student and the instructor of record review the allegation(s) and sign (physically or virtually) the completed AMR form;~~

~~2. the instructor files the AMR form with the Director, Office of Student Conduct (<http://osc.sdes.ucf.edu/reporting>);~~

~~3. the student must complete the Academic Integrity Workshop through the Office of Integrity and Ethical Development;~~

~~4. an Office of Student Conduct hold will be placed on the student’s record until the Academic Integrity Workshop requirements are completed.~~

~~(d) If the student accepts responsibility for the academic misconduct and the recommended action is “Initiate the Conduct Review Process”, the final resolution will come from an Academic Integrity Panel following a formal hearing.~~

~~(e) If the student does not accept responsibility for the academic misconduct; or the reported violation of Academic Misconduct is deemed especially egregious; or the student has previously been documented for an Academic Misconduct violation; the student will be required to attend an Academic Integrity Panel as defined in UCF 5.007 (4)(a).~~

~~(f) The Director of Student Conduct has the ability to change the instructor’s recommended action if the violation is particularly egregious or if the student has been previously reported for violating the academic misconduct policy.~~

- (g) ~~1.~~ 1. Undergraduate students found “in violation” will be prescribed punitive and educational conduct sanctions appropriate to the findings and recommendations of the ~~A~~academic ~~I~~ntegrity formal hearing~~Panel~~. The ~~Office of Student Conduct~~OSC will report the hearing outcome from the academic integrity hearing back to the instructor, department chair and college dean who will, with consultation with the college ~~to~~; determine if further course or academic sanctions should be imposed. If the undergraduate program recommends further course or ~~program~~-action, the undergraduate program must notify OSC and Academic Services. Final results of the academic integrity ~~panel~~formal hearing and/or course or program action must be made available to the student within fourteen (14) business days.
- (h) ~~2.~~ 2. Undergraduate ~~S~~tudents found “not in violation” will be notified within fourteen (14) business days. The ~~Office of Student Conduct~~OSC will report the findings back to the instructor, department chair, and college dean. Students may have their proposed course sanctions removed and the instructor may determine a new grade since no violation was found.
- (i) ~~3.~~ 3. For graduate students found “in violation”, the OSC notifies the Dean of the Academic College in which the graduate program resides. They will in turn notify the graduate program that a student was found in-violation and ask if the program wishes to invoke any program-level academic sanction(s). The student’s graduate program will determine if program sanctions are necessary. If they are deemed necessary, recommendation of program sanction(s) will be made using the *Probation/Dismissal Form* and/or *Conditional Retention Plan*. This information will be forwarded to the College of Graduate Studies. The OSC will be notified if the graduate program recommends additional program sanctions. The results of any formal hearing and/or program action(s) should be available for the student within fourteen (14) business days.

j 4. Graduate students found “not in violation” of academic misconduct may have their proposed course sanctions removed and the instructor may determine a new grade since no violation was found.

(f) Appeals:

k 1. Undergraduate or graduate Sstudents found “in violation” as the result of an Aacademic Integrity formal~~Panel~~ hearing may appeal the finding(s) and sanction(s) imposed by the Director of the OSC. The appeal must be made in writing to the appellate officer (Provost or designee) within seven (7) business days after the date the student was notified of the decision by the Director of the OSC. Students may appeal the finding and sanction(s) imposed on the basis of one or more of the following:

~~1~~.i. Irregularities in fairness and stated procedures of the hearing that could have affected the outcome of the hearing.

~~2~~.ii. Discovery of new and significant information that could have affected the outcome of the hearing and that was not known or could not reasonably have been discovered and/or presented at the time of the hearing.

~~3~~.iii. The sanction(s) are extraordinarily disproportionate to the violation(s).

~~1~~2. The student shall receive a written decision to the appeal. There is no definitive timeline for receiving an appeal response. It depends on many factors including the complexity of the case, ~~and~~ the information mentioned in the appeal, as well as the appellate officer’s appeal load at that particular time. Decisions of the Provost or designee reflect final agency action.

3. Any decision by the Decisions of the Provost or designee to alter sanctions or return a case shall be accompanied by a concise and explicit written statement that explains the basis for that decision.

~~m~~4. Undergraduate students may appeal program sanctions provided by the student’s undergraduate program, per UCF-5.016. Graduate Students may appeal program sanctions provided by the student’s graduate program, per

UCF-5.017. Students found “in violation” for academic misconduct are not eligible for academic appeal regarding the final grade issued by the course of the reported violation.

(6) Z Designation for Academic Misconduct

- (a) A Z designation ~~is to~~ denotes a student was found “in violation” of academic misconduct while enrolled in a course. A Z designation does not affect a student’s grade point average.
- (b) Z designations will remain on a student’s transcript if:
 1. The student is found “in violation” of academic misconduct and the punitive sanction is suspension for one or more semesters or expulsion; or
 2. The student is found “in violation” of academic misconduct twice during their UCF academic career.
 - a. The punitive sanction received in either academic misconduct case has no bearing on the Z designation being permanently placed on the student’s transcript.
 - b. A Z designation will be placed in association with both courses in which the student was found “in violation” of academic misconduct.
- (c) If a student is found “in violation” of academic misconduct a Z designation will be placed on their transcript in association with the final course letter grade recorded (ex. ZA, ZB, ZC, ZD, ZF).
- (d) A Z designation will be denoted on the student’s transcript as a ZW if a student withdrew from the course prior to the conclusion of the conduct process and was subsequently found “in violation” of academic misconduct.
- (e) OSC will communicate with the Registrar’s Office to have Z designations placed on student’s transcript following the conclusion of the Conduct Review Process.
- (f) Students have the opportunity to improve the letter grade recorded in association with a course in which they were found “in violation” of academic misconduct through the use of grade forgiveness. The Z designation however will still remain on the student’s transcript.

- (g) A student can attempt to have a Z designation permanently removed through participating in the Community ReEngagement and Educational Development (CREED) Program~~an Academic Misconduct Disciplinary Sanction Review~~.

Authority: BOG Regulation 1.001. History – Formerly 6C7-5.0042, Amended 8-10-09, 9-4-12, 10-29-15, 7-28-16.